Governance Objectives 12, 13 & 14

The process and flowchart on the following page reflect an option for the review and approval of such items as scope of practice issues, trial studies, etc. The above listed Vision objectives identified a concern on the part of the system stakeholders that the current process for trial study approval, review, termination or continuance and the scope of practice process (and their relationship) is confusing, inconsistent and does not allow adequate input from non-physician stakeholders. The overall theme of this draft process is to augment the review of EMDAC, and include other expertise such as educators, Q.I. coordinators, administrators (system issues), providers, etc. to provide feedback regarding the impact of proposed changes on their areas of concern or expertise, including the costs associated with a particular proposal.

It must be pointed out that the overriding concern is for medical efficacy and safety, and EMDAC has absolute say in whether a proposal would move on to the new committee for their review or die at that stage for lack of support. Having said this, it would appear that such a process could address concerns both of the system stakeholders and those of EMDAC (SOP). EMDAC has expressed concern that some of the proposals that they review require an analysis regarding the training time required, QI follow-up, associated costs, etc. that they do not have the time, inclination and/or expertise to provide. This additional committee could bring that expertise to the table and provide a standardized review of those associated issues.

This process is obviously in an early stage of development and needs a great deal of review by interested individuals.

Process Flowchart, SOP, Trial Studies, etc.